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## Where Were We?

- Last time we recalled Reynolds' standard relational parametricity
- This is the main inspiration for the bifibrational model of parametricity for System F we will develop
- View Reynolds' construction and results through the lens of the relations (bi)fibration on Set
- Generalize Reynolds’ constructions to bifibrational models of System F for which we can prove (bifibrational versions of) the IEL and Abstraction Theorem
- Reynolds' construction is (ignoring size issues) such a model
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- A fibration is a functor $U: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$
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$-\mathcal{E}$ is the total category of $\boldsymbol{U}$
Intuitively, $\mathcal{E}=\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{E}_{B}$
- $U$ must have some additional properties for describing indexing
- We are interested in indexing because Reynolds' interpretations are type-indexed


## Display Maps

- Simple case: Indexing for sets
$-\mathcal{B}$ is a set $I$ of indices,
$-\mathcal{E}$ is $X=\bigcup_{i \in I} X_{i}$, where $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is a (wlog, disjoint) family of sets
$-U: X \rightarrow I$ maps each $x \in X$ to the index $i \in I$ such that $x \in X_{i}$


## Display Maps

- Simple case: Indexing for sets
$-\mathcal{B}$ is a set $I$ of indices,
$-\mathcal{E}$ is $X=\bigcup_{i \in I} X_{i}$, where $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is a (wlog, disjoint) family of sets
$-U: X \rightarrow I$ maps each $x \in X$ to the index $i \in I$ such that $x \in X_{i}$
- $\boldsymbol{U}$ is called the display map for $\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)_{i \in I}$


## Display Maps

- Simple case: Indexing for sets
$-\mathcal{B}$ is a set $I$ of indices,
$-\mathcal{E}$ is $X=\bigcup_{i \in I} X_{i}$, where $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is a (wlog, disjoint) family of sets
$-U: X \rightarrow I$ maps each $x \in X$ to the index $i \in I$ such that $x \in X_{i}$
- $\boldsymbol{U}$ is called the display map for $\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)_{i \in I}$
- It is customary to draw it vertically, like this:



## Display Maps

- Simple case: Indexing for sets
$-\mathcal{B}$ is a set $I$ of indices,
$-\mathcal{E}$ is $X=\bigcup_{i \in I} X_{i}$, where $\left(X_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is a (wlog, disjoint) family of sets
$-U: X \rightarrow I$ maps each $x \in X$ to the index $i \in I$ such that $x \in X_{i}$
- $\boldsymbol{U}$ is called the display map for $\left(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)_{i \in I}$
- It is customary to draw it vertically, like this:

- The set

$$
X_{i}=U^{-1}(i)=\{x \in X \mid U x=i\}
$$

is called the fibre of $X$ over $i$
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- $(g, f)$ is such that if
$-U^{\prime \prime}: Z \rightarrow K$ is any object in Set ${ }^{\rightarrow}$
$-\left(g^{\prime}, f^{\prime}\right): U^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{U}$ is a morphism in Set ${ }^{\rightarrow}$
$-f^{\prime}: K \rightarrow I$ factors through $f: J \rightarrow I$ via $v: K \rightarrow J$ (i.e., $f^{\prime}=f \circ v$ )
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- That is, $(g, f)$ is the best substitution morphism from $f^{*}(U)$ to $U$
- The existence of such best substitution morphisms is what makes cod : Set ${ }^{\rightarrow} \rightarrow$ Set a fibration
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- A morphism is vertical if it is over $i d$
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- The discrete functor $|\boldsymbol{U}|:|\mathcal{E}| \rightarrow|\mathcal{B}|$ is induced by the restriction of $U: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ to $|\mathcal{E}|$
- $\mathcal{C}^{n}$ is the $n$-fold product of $\mathcal{C}$ (in Cat)
- The $n$-fold product of $\boldsymbol{U}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, denoted $U^{n}: \mathcal{E}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{n}$, is given by $U^{n}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\left(U X_{1}, \ldots, U X_{n}\right)$ and $U^{n}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)=\left(U f_{1}, \ldots, U f_{n}\right)$
- Lemma

1. If $U: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a functor, then $|\boldsymbol{U}|:|\mathcal{E}| \rightarrow|\mathcal{B}|$ is a bifibration, called the discrete fibration for $U$
2. If $U$ is a (bi)fibration then so is $U^{n}: \mathcal{E}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{n}$ for any $n \in N a t$
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- cartesian morphisms are preserved, i.e., if $f$ in $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ is cartesian over $g$ in $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ then $F_{r} f$ in $\mathcal{E}$ is cartesian over $F_{o} g$ in $\mathcal{B}$
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## Coming Up

- View Reynolds' construction and results through the lens of the relations (bi)fibration on Set
- Generalize Reynolds' constructions to (bi)fibrational models of System F for which we can prove (fibrational versions of) the IEL and Abstraction Theorem Reynolds' construction is (ignoring size issues) an instance
- Reynolds' construction is (ignoring size issues) such a model
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