

With regard to the 1936 Landon and Roosevelt election Literary Digest poll, which predicted the winner as Landon

- a) the sample size was not large enough
- b) the sample was not diverse enough
- c) Landon would have won—but Roosevelt's win was due to a last minute change in sentiment

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

d) other

With regard to the 1936 Landon and Roosevelt election Literary Digest poll, which predicted the winner as Landon

- a) the sample size was not large enough
- b) the sample was not diverse enough
- c) Landon would have won—but Roosevelt's win was due to a last minute change in sentiment

d) other

The Literary Digest NEW YORK

Topics of the day

LANDON, 1,293,669; ROOSEVELT, 972,897 Final Returns in The Digest's Poll of Ten Million Voters

Well, the great battle of the ballots in the lican National Committee purchased Tur-Poll of ten million voters, scattered throughout the forty-eight States of the Union, is now finished, and in the table below we record the figures received up to the hour of going to press.

from more than one in every five voters polled in our country-they are neither weighted, adjusted nor interpreted.

polls have we received so many different correct? In answer to this question we will varieties of criticism-praise from many; condemnation from many others-and yet it has been just of the same type that has in answer to his challenge to us to wager in all these years.

A telegram from a newspaper in California asks: "Is it true that Mr. Hearst has purchased The LITERARY DIGEST?" A telephone message only the day before these lines were written: "Has the Renah-

LITERARY DIGEST?" And all types and varieties, including: "Have the Jews nurchased THE LITERARY DIGEST?" "Is the Pope of (hioo, is now finished, and in the table THE LITEMANY DIGEST? "Is the Pope of solow we record the figures received up to he hour of going to press. These figures are exactly as received to moment than one in every five voters recent days are but repetitions of what we eighted, adjusted nor interpreted. Never before in an experience covering the state of the state

more than a quarter of a century in taking Problem-Now, are the figures in this Poll simply refer to a telegram we sent to a young man in Massachusetts the other day come to us every time a Poll has been taken 8100,000 on the accuracy of our Poll. We wired him as follows:

"For nearly a quarter century, we have been taking Polls of the voters in the fortyeight States, and especially in Presidential years, and we have always merely mailed the ballots, counted and recorded those

returned and let the people of the Nation draw their conclusions as to our accuracy So far, we have been right in every Poll Will we be right in the current Poll? That, as Mrs. Roosevelt said concerning the Presi dent's reelection, is in the 'lap of the gods.

"We never make any claims before elcotion but we respectfully refer you to the opinion of one of the most quoted citizens to-day, the Hon. James A. Farley, Chairman of the Democratic National Committee. This is what Mr. Farley said October 14, 1992;

"'Any same person can not escape the implication of such a gigantic sampling of popular opinion as is embraced in THE LIT-ERARY DIGEST straw vote. I consider this conclusive evidence as to the desire of the people of this country for a change in the National Government. The LITERARY Digest poll is an achievement of no little magnitude. It is a Poll fairly and cor-rectly conducted.""

In studying the table of the voters from

The statistics and the material in this article are the preperty of Funk & Wagnalls Com-pany and have been expyrighted by its method the whole nor any part thereof may be re-printed or published without the special per-mination of the oppright owner.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 のQ@

Literary Digest, October 31, 1936

Which of the following in the hw readings did you find most compelling?

- a) inconsistencies in scaling can lead to false interpretations
- b) the average American or average salary of Lakeside school can be very misleading. Half of the people are not necessarily below average.

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

c) sampling pitfalls such as convenience sampling, voluntary responses, and asking unclear or misleading questions

d) other

Which of the following in the hw readings did you find most compelling?

- a) inconsistencies in scaling can lead to false interpretations
- b) the average American or average salary of Lakeside school can be very misleading. Half of the people are not necessarily below average.
- c) sampling pitfalls such as convenience sampling, voluntary responses, and asking unclear or misleading questions

d) other

collecting data: reproducibility, consensus, and random sampling if possible

presenting data: entire data set versus numerical or visual snapshots of it

all can be subject to bias and distortion, and are definitely subject to probability and random variations

95% Confidence Level and Margin of Error Intervals

- a sample statistic with its margin of error generates an interval on a number line
- 95% provides a likelihood—no way to know which intervals contain the true percentage and which don't



Margin of Error



Garfield by Jim Davis https://garfield.com/comic/1999/03/12

- margin of error gives a range the actual percentage is likely to be within. Higher confidence level has a wider interval.
- For a 95% confidence interval, a sample of size *n* will have margin of error approximately $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ (conservative estimate).
- We check for overlaps in the intervals in order to evaluate the statistical validity of headlines and statements in polls



Statistically Accurate Claim?

"Desire to Migrate Rises in North Africa" 2017 lower boundary: 32 - 2 = 30%2016 upper boundary: 28 + 2 = 30%

WORLD APRIL 24, 2018

Desire to Migrate Rises in North Africa

BY IMAN BERRACHED AND RJ REINHART



Benford's Law and the likely frequency of the first digit applied to many data sets. Is there a pattern to spirals in nature?

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

Benford's Law and the likely frequency of the first digit applied to many data sets. Is there a pattern to spirals in nature?



・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

Benford's Law and the likely frequency of the first digit applied to many data sets. Is there a pattern to spirals in nature?



Benford's Law and the likely frequency of the first digit applied to many data sets. Is there a pattern to spirals in nature?



Picture credits:

1. Clockwise hurricane Georges originated in southern hemisphere:

http://www.aoml.noaa.gov/hrd/Storm_pages/georges1998/sat.html

2-3. Ron Knott http://www.maths.surrey.ac.uk/hosted-sites/R.Knott/Fibonacci/fibnat.html 4 and 6. Wernher Krutein 5. The Heart of Mathematics

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの





Picture credit:

Ron Knott http://www.maths.surrey.ac.uk/hosted-sites/R.Knott/Fibonacci/fibnat.html

・ロト ・個ト ・ヨト ・ヨト 三日

- Explore ideas systematically
- Look for a pattern
- Create abstract ideas by modeling nature
- Unexpected patterns are often a sign of hidden, underlying structure [and Excel can help us find it]
- Explore the consequences of new ideas



Picture credit: Ron Knott http://www.maths.surrey.ac.uk/hosted-sites/R.Knott/Fibonacci/fibnat.html

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

- Explore ideas systematically
- Look for a pattern
- Create abstract ideas by modeling nature
- Unexpected patterns are often a sign of hidden, underlying structure [and Excel can help us find it]
- Explore the consequences of new ideas



Picture credit:

Ron Knott http://www.maths.surrey.ac.uk/hosted-sites/R.Knott/Fibonacci/fibnat.html

 $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\approx$ 1.618033988749894848204586834365638117720 Why is it plausible that there is a pattern to spirals in nature? Where else do we find the same pattern?

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lOIP_Z_0Hs, ...

In the following we see two side-by-side boxplots on reaction times of control group vs cell users

Reaction time with cell phone usage



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

What can you say about the data from the median to Q3, the third guartile, of the reaction times?

- a) cell phone users did better because the data is more tightly clustered together
- b) control group did better because the data is lower
- c) neither

• 0 to 10% no

10% to 25% weak 25% to 65% moderate above 65% strong

- NOT a probability for correct nor a likelihood of on the line
- measures the y-values distances via sum of squares as variation in the dependent variable explained by linearity



Picture citations:

1. http://cs.wellesley.edu/~cs199/lectures/35-correlation-regression.html

2. http://www2.nau.edu/mat114-c/ch3a.php

3. http://math.maine121.org/welcome/chapter-5/

Would you have been drafted for Vietnam in 1969? Is there anyone in the class with the same birthday?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

December 1, 1969 Vietnam Draft Lottery

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-p5X1FjyD_g

December 1, 1969 Vietnam Draft Lottery

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=-p5X1FjyD_g



http://academic.uprm.edu/wrolke/esma3102/graphs/cor5.png 🖡 👍 🚊 🖉 🔍

Joan Rosenblatt's 1970 Improvements A truly unbiased military draft



January 01, 1970

Statisticians roundly criticized the 1969 draft lottery as unfair, so the Selective Service System asked NIST to devise an unquestionably random method for the 1970 draft. NIST mathematician Joan Rosenblatt and colleagues developed a method to randomly choose calendars and priority permutations for the draft. The new draft method was praised as fair, and Rosenblatt won the 1971 Federal Women's Award for her efforts on this and other projects.

https://www.nist.gov/node/774336

Lottery procedure was improved the next year with a two-drum system. The 365 birthdates (for those born in 1951) were written down, placed in capsules, and put in a drum in the order dictated by random permutations. Similarly, the numbers from 1 to 365 were written down and placed into capsules. One drum was rotated for an hour and the other for a half-hour (its rotating mechanism failed). Pairs of capsules were then drawn, one from each drum, one with a 1951 birthdate and one with a number 1 to 365.

2000 Presidential Election—Bush, Gore, Buchanan

Florida Buchanan Vote by County Actual vs. Predicted

Based Only on '96 Presidential Votes and '00 Presidential Primary Votes



Predicted Buchanan Vote from '96 Vote & '00 Primary Vote

э

http://homepages.nyu.edu/~rmj1/objects/BuchPrio.jpg

2000 Presidential Election—Bush, Gore, Buchanan



What does the slope mean when x= year and y= percent of US labor force working in agriculture and the best fit line is y = -0.4722x + 935.68?

- a) at the start of the years the percentage is 935.68
- b) percent of labor force is going down by about .5 with every year

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

c) neither

What does the slope mean when x= year and y= percent of US labor force working in agriculture and the best fit line is y = -0.4722x + 935.68?

- a) at the start of the years the percentage is 935.68
- b) percent of labor force is going down by about .5 with every year
- c) neither



 $r^2 = .9872 = 98.72\%$, and this tells us that

- a) If we use the line to predict we would get it right \sim 99% of the time
- b) The y-value distances of the data to the best fit line are small so year in this data is a statistically strong predictor of percent of US labor force

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

 $r^2 = .9872 = 98.72\%$, and this tells us that

- a) If we use the line to predict we would get it right \sim 99% of the time
- b) The y-value distances of the data to the best fit line are small so year in this data is a statistically strong predictor of percent of US labor force

The r^2 value is strong but the line y = -0.4722x + 935.68 predicts a negative value by plugging in any year after 1990 for *x*. Resolve the apparent conflict.

- a) There is a typo—the actual r^2 value should be weak
- b) The mathematics of the r^2 value and the prediction are correct
- c) There are other reasons why the prediction doesn't hold up like extrapolation

Related data: https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/ share-of-the-labor-force-employed-in-agriculture? time=latest

How to Get Rich Quick as a Stock Whiz

If the r^2 value was 100%, would we be assured to make money by using the best fit line to predict the future performance?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

- a) yes
- b) no

How to Get Rich Quick as a Stock Whiz

If the r^2 value was 100%, would we be assured to make money by using the best fit line to predict the future performance?

- a) yes
- b) no

58%



- With r², we can categorize correlations 0 to 10% no 10% to 25% weak 25% to 65% moderate above 65% strong
- Even without *r*² in front of us, we can visually inspect and categorize relationships.
- NOT a probability for correct nor a likelihood of on the line
- measures the y-values distances via sum of squares as variation in the dependent variable explained by linearity

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ