1. In Maple we execute A := Matrix([[21/40,3/20],[-3/16,39/40]]); Eigenvectors(A); and obtain the output  $\begin{bmatrix} 3\\5\\9\\10 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2\\5\\1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  Notice that the eigenvectors of  $\begin{bmatrix} 21\\40\\-\frac{3}{16} & \frac{30}{29}\\-\frac{3}{16} & \frac{30}{40} \end{bmatrix}$  span  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Using the Maple output, write out the eigenvector decomposition.

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

ъ

1. In Maple we execute

## A := Matrix([[21/40,3/20],[-3/16,39/40]]);Eigenvectors(A);

and obtain the output  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5} \\ \frac{9}{5} \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & \frac{2}{5} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  Notice that the eigenvectors

of 
$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{21}{40} & \frac{3}{20} \\ -\frac{3}{16} & \frac{39}{40} \end{bmatrix}$$
 span  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Using the Maple output, write out the eigenvector decomposition.

What does the trajectory look like for an initial vector in guadrant 1 that does not begin on either eigenvector?

- a) dies off to the origin asymptotic to one eigenvector (dominant eigenvalue < 1)
- b) grows asymptotic to one eigenvector (dominant eigenvalue >1)
- c) comes in parallel to one eigenvector with smaller and smaller contributions until we hit the other (dominant eigenvalue = 1)ヘロン ヘアン ヘビン ヘビン

## 2. In Maple we execute A := Matrix([[21/40,3/20],[-3/16,39/40]]); Eigenvectors(A); $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{5} \\ \frac{9}{10} \end{bmatrix}$ , $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & \frac{2}{5} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Say that *A* represents the changes from one year to the next in a system of foxes (*x*-value) and rabbits (*y*-value). For most initial conditions, what happens to the system in the longterm?

- a) populations die off in the ratios of 2 foxes to 1 rabbit
- b) populations die off in the ratios of 1 fox to 2 rabbits
- c) populations die off in the ratios of 2 foxes to 5 rabbits
- d) populations die off in the ratios of 5 foxes to 2 rabbits
- e) other longterm behavior

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

## 2. In Maple we execute A := Matrix([[21/40,3/20],[-3/16,39/40]]); Eigenvectors(A); $\begin{bmatrix} 3\\5\\1\\0\\0\\10 \end{bmatrix}$ , $\begin{bmatrix} 2&2\\5\\1&1 \end{bmatrix}$

Say that *A* represents the changes from one year to the next in a system of foxes (*x*-value) and rabbits (*y*-value). For most initial conditions, what happens to the system in the longterm?

- a) populations die off in the ratios of 2 foxes to 1 rabbit
- b) populations die off in the ratios of 1 fox to 2 rabbits
- c) populations die off in the ratios of 2 foxes to 5 rabbits
- d) populations die off in the ratios of 5 foxes to 2 rabbits
- e) other longterm behavior

For most initial conditions, the rate of die off in the longterm is 10% each year = 1 - dominant eigenvalue. Sketch a trajectory diagram

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

3. Given a square matrix *A*, to solve  $A\vec{x} = \lambda \vec{x}$  for eigenvalues and eigenvectors

- a)  $(A \lambda I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  is equivalent, so, since we are looking for nontrivial  $\vec{x}$  solutions, that means that this homogeneous system must have infinite solutions, so we can solve for  $det(A - \lambda I) = -1$
- b) Once we have a  $\lambda$  that works, we can take the inverse of  $A \lambda I$  to solve for the eigenvectors
- c) Once we have a  $\lambda$  that works, we can create the augmented matrix  $[A \lambda I \vec{0}]$  and reduce to solve for the nullspace of  $A \lambda I$  (eigenspace of A), and write out a basis

・ 何 と く き と く き と … き

- 4. A reflection matrix has eigenvalue(s)
  - a)  $\lambda = 1$  on the line of reflection
  - b)  $\lambda = -1$  perpendicular to the line of reflection
  - c)  $\lambda = -2$  for some line
  - d) all of the above
  - e) two of the above



- 5. An eigenvector  $\vec{x}$  allows us to turn matrix
  - a) multiplication into matrix addition
  - b) addition into matrix multiplication
  - c) multiplication into scalar multiplication
  - d) addition into scalar multiplication
  - e) none of the above

Euler: principal axes for the rotational motion of a rigid body. Lagrange: they are the eigenvectors of the inertia matrix Cauchy: generalized [Hawkins, 1975] eigen: "own"

▲ 伊 ▶ ▲ 王 ▶ ▲ 王 ▶ ● の Q @

- 5. An eigenvector  $\vec{x}$  allows us to turn matrix
  - a) multiplication into matrix addition
  - b) addition into matrix multiplication
  - c) multiplication into scalar multiplication
  - d) addition into scalar multiplication
  - e) none of the above

Euler: principal axes for the rotational motion of a rigid body. Lagrange: they are the eigenvectors of the inertia matrix Cauchy: generalized [Hawkins, 1975] eigen: "own"  $A\vec{x} = \lambda \vec{x}$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

In the original matrix *p* is called a *predation parameter*.

 $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{21}{40} & \frac{3}{20} \\ -p & \frac{39}{20} \end{bmatrix}$ . Find a value of *p* so that the populations tend

towards constant levels (stability).



・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・

In the original matrix p is called a *predation parameter*.

 $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{21}{40} & \frac{3}{20} \\ -p & \frac{39}{40} \end{bmatrix}$ . Find a value of *p* so that the populations tend towards constant levels (stability).

plug in 
$$\lambda = 1$$
:  
0 = determinant  $(A - \lambda I) = determinant (A - 1 \cdot I)$   
= det $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{21}{40} & \frac{3}{20} \\ -p & \frac{39}{40} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{21}{40} - 1 & \frac{3}{20} \\ -p & \frac{39}{40} - 1 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{19}{1600} + \frac{3}{20}p$   
 $p = -\frac{19}{240}$ 

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト ・

In the original matrix *p* is called a *predation parameter*.  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{21}{40} & \frac{3}{20} \end{bmatrix}$  Find a value of *p* as that the parameter to *p* 

 $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{21}{40} & \frac{3}{20} \\ -p & \frac{3}{40} \end{bmatrix}$ . Find a value of *p* so that the populations tend towards constant levels (stability).

plug in 
$$\lambda = 1$$
:  
0 = determinant  $(A - \lambda I) = determinant (A - 1 \cdot I)$   
= det $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{21}{40} & \frac{3}{20} \\ -p & \frac{39}{40} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{21}{40} - 1 & \frac{3}{20} \\ -p & \frac{39}{40} - 1 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{19}{1600} + \frac{3}{20}p$   
 $p = -\frac{19}{240}$ 

A := Matrix([[21/40,3/20],[19/240,39/40]]); Eigenvectors(A);  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} -6 & \frac{6}{19}\\1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ …

6. A := Matrix([[21/40,3/20],[19/240,39/40]]); Eigenvectors(A);  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} -6 & 6 \\ 19 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

What are the relative population sizes in the longterm? [foxes (x-value) and rabbits (y-value)]

- a) -6 foxes to 1 rabbit
- b) 1 fox to -6 rabbits
- c) 6 foxes to 19 rabbits
- d) 19 foxes to 6 rabbits
- e) none of the above

(同) (正) (正)

э.

7. A := Matrix([[21/40,3/20],[19/240,39/40]]); Eigenvectors(A);  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} -6 & \frac{6}{19}\\1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

The eigenvector decomposition:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Foxes}_k \\ \text{Rabbits}_k \end{bmatrix} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k \begin{bmatrix} -6a_1 \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{6}{19}a_2 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

What does the trajectory look like for an initial vector in quadrant 1 that does not begin on either eigenvector?

- a) approaches the origin asymptotic to one eigenvector
- b) comes in parallel to one eigenvector with smaller and smaller contributions until we hit the other.
- c) grows asymptotic to one eigenvector
- d) more than one of the above
- e) none of a, b, c

(雪) (ヨ) (ヨ)

7. A := Matrix([[21/40,3/20],[19/240,39/40]]); Eigenvectors(A);  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} -6 & \frac{6}{19}\\1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

The eigenvector decomposition:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Foxes}_k \\ \text{Rabbits}_k \end{bmatrix} = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k \begin{bmatrix} -6a_1 \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{6}{19}a_2 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

What does the trajectory look like for an initial vector in quadrant 1 that does not begin on either eigenvector?

- a) approaches the origin asymptotic to one eigenvector
- b) comes in parallel to one eigenvector with smaller and smaller contributions until we hit the other.
- c) grows asymptotic to one eigenvector
- d) more than one of the above
- e) none of a, b, c



A := Matrix([[21/40,3/20],[19/240,39/40]]); Eigenvectors(A);  $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} -6 & \frac{6}{19}\\1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ The eigenvector decomposition:  $\begin{bmatrix} Foxes_k \\ Rabbits_k \end{bmatrix} = (\frac{1}{2})^k \begin{bmatrix} -6a_1 \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{6}{19}a_2 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix}$ 



「「「「」」 ( 」 ) ( 」 ) ( 」

8. The eigenvector decomposition for a system of owls (*x*-value) and wood rats (*y*-value) is given via

$$\vec{x}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{owls}_{k} \\ \text{wood rats}_{k} \end{bmatrix} = a_{1} (\frac{7}{10})^{k} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + a_{2} (\frac{9}{10})^{k} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Which of the following is true about the populations in the longterm for most starting positions?

- a) the owls (x-value) dominate
- b) the wood rats (y-value) dominate
- c) the owl population crashes faster than the wood rats
- d) more than one of the above
- e) none of the above

9.  $\vec{x_k} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{owls}_k \\ \text{wood rats}_k \end{bmatrix} = a_1 (\frac{7}{10})^k \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + a_2 (\frac{9}{10})^k \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Which of the following is true about the trajectory of  $\vec{x}_k$  for most starting positions?

- a) the owls die off along y = x but the wood rats don't
- b) the wood rats die off along y = x but the owls don't
- c) the owls and wood rats die off in the ratio of 2 owls to 1 wood rat along  $y = \frac{1}{2}x$
- d) the owls and wood rats die off in the ratio of 1 owl to 1 wood rat along y=x
- e) none of the above

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

9.  $\vec{x_k} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{owls}_k \\ \text{wood rats}_k \end{bmatrix} = a_1 (\frac{7}{10})^k \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + a_2 (\frac{9}{10})^k \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Which of the following is true about the trajectory of  $\vec{x}_k$  for most starting positions?

- a) the owls die off along y = x but the wood rats don't
- b) the wood rats die off along y = x but the owls don't
- c) the owls and wood rats die off in the ratio of 2 owls to 1 wood rat along  $y = \frac{1}{2}x$
- d) the owls and wood rats die off in the ratio of 1 owl to 1 wood rat along y=x
- e) none of the above



10. 
$$\vec{x_k} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{owls}_k \\ \text{wood rats}_k \end{bmatrix} = a_1 (\frac{7}{10})^k \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + a_2 (\frac{9}{10})^k \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
When do we die off along  $y = \frac{1}{2}x$ 

- a) never
- b) always
- c) for most starting positions
- d) only when  $a_1 = 0$
- e) only when  $a_2 = 0$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

10. 
$$\vec{x_k} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{owls}_k \\ \text{wood rats}_k \end{bmatrix} = a_1 (\frac{7}{10})^k \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + a_2 (\frac{9}{10})^k \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
When do we die off along  $y = \frac{1}{2}x$ 

a) never

- b) always
- c) for most starting positions
- d) only when  $a_1 = 0$
- e) only when  $a_2 = 0$



・ロット (雪) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )

æ

11. Could we write out an eigenvector decomposition for a reflection matrix (i.e. are there 2 linearly independent eigenvectors that span  $\mathbb{R}^2$ )

- a) yes and I can tell you how the eigenvectors relate to the line of reflection
- b) yes but I am unsure of what they are
- c) no but I am unsure of why not
- d) no and I can explain why not

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > .

11. Could we write out an eigenvector decomposition for a reflection matrix (i.e. are there 2 linearly independent eigenvectors that span  $\mathbb{R}^2$ )

- a) yes and I can tell you how the eigenvectors relate to the line of reflection
- b) yes but I am unsure of what they are
- c) no but I am unsure of why not
- d) no and I can explain why not



12. Could we write out an eigenvector decomposition for a projection matrix (i.e. are there 2 linearly independent eigenvectors that span  $\mathbb{R}^2$ )

- a) yes and I can tell you how the eigenvectors relate to the line of projection
- b) yes but I am unsure of what they are
- c) no but I am unsure of why not
- d) no and I can explain why not



13. Could we write out an eigenvector decomposition for a horizontal shear matrix (i.e. are there 2 linearly independent eigenvectors that span  $\mathbb{R}^2$ )

- a) yes and I can tell you how the eigenvectors relate to the horizontal shear
- b) yes but I am unsure of what they are
- c) no but I am unsure of why not
- d) no and I can explain why not



TreyGreer62 CC0

14. Which of the following must be true if  $A_{2\times 2}$  has a nonzero eigenvector  $\vec{x}$  satisfying  $A\vec{x} = 5\vec{x}$ ?

- a) A's eigenvectors are all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$
- b) A must have at least an entire line through the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as its eigenvectors, where the vectors get stretched by 5
- c) A can have just the one eigenvector  $\vec{x}$  that is stretched by 5
- d) A has exactly two eigenvectors, the second being from Maple
- e) none of the above

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

14. Which of the following must be true if  $A_{2\times 2}$  has a nonzero eigenvector  $\vec{x}$  satisfying  $A\vec{x} = 5\vec{x}$ ?

- a) A's eigenvectors are all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$
- b) A must have at least an entire line through the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as its eigenvectors, where the vectors get stretched by 5
- c) A can have just the one eigenvector  $\vec{x}$  that is stretched by 5
- d) A has exactly two eigenvectors, the second being from Maple
- e) none of the above



15. If the reduced augmented matrix for the system  $(A - \lambda I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$  is  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  with *A* as a 2 × 2 matrix then the non-zero (real) eigenvectors of *A* are

a) none

- b) a line through the origin
- c) all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$
- d) a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (with 3 coordinates)
- e) none of the above

(過) (ヨ) (ヨ)

16. What are the non-zero real eigenvectors of  $\begin{bmatrix} \cos \pi & -\sin \pi \\ \sin \pi & \cos \pi \end{bmatrix}$ ?

a) none

- b) a line of eigenvectors
- c) two different lines of eigenvectors
- d) all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$
- e) none of the above

Maximum likelihood estimation and inference on cointegration—with **applications** to the demand for money

Human face recognition using PCA on wavelet subband

<u>GC Feng, PC Yuen</u>, DQ Dai - Journal of electronic imaging, 2000 - spiedigitallibrary.org ... The adjoint matrix of the matrix K, which maps the standard coordinates into K–L coordinates, is called the K–L trans-form. In many **applications**, the **eigenvectors** in K are sorted according to the eigenvalues in a descending order ...

☆ 55 Cited by 207 Related articles All 13 versions

Google Search for applications of eigenvectors

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

17. If we write a **basis** for the eigenspace of

how many vectors does it have?

- a) 0
- b) 1
- **c)** 2
- d) infinite
- e) none of the above



 $\begin{bmatrix} \cos \pi & -\sin \pi \\ \sin \pi & \cos \pi \end{bmatrix},$ 

http://www.spiderfinancial.com/support/documentation/numxl/users-guide/

18. What are the non-zero real eigenvectors of

## a) none

- b) a line of eigenvectors
- c) two different lines of eigenvectors
- d) all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$
- e) none of the above

Review and Understand Mistakes and Misconceptions Apply Linear Algebra to Numerous Situations

 $\begin{bmatrix} \cos \frac{\pi}{5} & -\sin \frac{\pi}{5} \\ \sin \frac{\pi}{5} & \cos \frac{\pi}{5} \end{bmatrix}$ 

Try it Out! Practice Computations and Critical Analysis