I am feeling comfortable with the by-hand method of Gaussian/Echelon form with row reductions being used to obtain 0s below the diagonal:

- a) Definitely
- b) Somewhat
- c) Unsure
- d) Somewhat not
- e) What are row reductions?

(E) < E)</p>

ъ

 $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_1 \leftrightarrow r_4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r'_2 = -r_1 + r_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r'_3 = -3r_1 + r_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 4 & 8 & 10 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r'_4 = -2r_1 + r_4} \xrightarrow{r'_4 = -2r_1 + r_4}$$

(ロ) (同) (目) (日) (日) (の)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_1 \leftrightarrow r_4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r'_2 = -r_1 + r_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r'_3 = -3r_1 + r_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 4 & 8 & 10 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r'_4 = -2r_1 + r_4} \xrightarrow{r'_4 = -2r_1 + r_4}$$

Use x_2 term in eq2 to eliminate terms below it via $r'_k = cr_2 + r_k$

$$\xrightarrow{r_3'=-2r_2+r_3}_{r_4'=-r_2+r_4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_1 \leftrightarrow r_4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r'_2 = -r_1 + r_2} \xrightarrow{r'_2 = -r_1 + r_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r'_3 = -3r_1 + r_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 4 & 8 & 10 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r'_4 = -2r_1 + r_4} \xrightarrow{r'_4 = -2r_1 + r_4}$$

Use x_2 term in eq2 to eliminate terms below it via $r'_k = cr_2 + r_k$ $r'_{3} = -2r_2 + r_3$ $r'_{4} = -r_2 + r_4$ $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ Critical Analysis: Any [0 0 0 ... 0 nonzero] ? (inconsistent)?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_1 \leftrightarrow r_4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r'_2 = -r_1 + r_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r'_3 = -3r_1 + r_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 4 & 8 & 10 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r'_4 = -2r_1 + r_4} \xrightarrow{r'_4 = -2r_1 + r_4}$$

Use x_2 term in eq2 to eliminate terms below it via $r'_k = cr_2 + r_k$

$$\begin{array}{c} r_3' = -2r_2 + r_3 \\ \hline r_4' = -r_2 + r_4 \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Critical Analysis: Any $[0\ 0\ 0\ \dots\ 0\ nonzero]$? (inconsistent)? No. So solve via pivots (any variable missing a pivot is free). pivots: 1 for x_1 & 2 for x_2 , $x_3 = t$ Use row 2: $x_2 = \frac{5}{2} - 2t$, row 1: $x_1 = -1 + t$. Sols: 4 planes intersect in line $(-1 + t, \frac{5}{2} - 2t, t)$







1 solutioninfinite solutions0 solutionscorner of roombook spinehands + table

What does the Gaussian form look like in terms of pivots?





1 solutioninfinite solutions0 solutionscorner of roombook spinehands + table

What does the Gaussian form look like in terms of pivots? full pivots consistent & 1 variable w/ no pivot [000 nonzero]





1 solutioninfinite solutions0 solutionscorner of roombook spinehands + table

What does the Gaussian form look like in terms of pivots? full pivots consistent & 1 variable w/ no pivot [000 nonzero]

Note: consistent & only 2 variables w/ no pivots in \mathbb{R}^3 means a plane of solutions. Ex: x + y + z = 1. Augmented matrix: [1111] y = s, z = t, pivot for x gives x = 1 - y - z. Sols are plane (1 - s - t, s, t)