## Solve for $T, N, \kappa, B, \tau$ by-hand

- $T(t)=\frac{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}{\left|\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right|}$ because $\frac{d s}{d t}=\left|\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right|$ and $T=\frac{\alpha^{\prime}(t)}{\frac{d s}{d t}}$
- $\vec{\kappa}=T^{\prime}(s)=\frac{T^{\prime}(t)}{\left|\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right|}$ because $\left.\vec{\kappa}=\frac{d T}{d s}=\frac{d T}{d t} \frac{d t}{d s}=\frac{d T}{\frac{d T}{d s}} \frac{T^{\prime}(t)}{d t} \right\rvert\, \frac{\alpha^{\prime}(t) \mid}{}$
- curvature $\kappa$ : length of $\vec{k}=|\vec{k}|$ and $N(t)=\frac{\vec{k}}{|\vec{k}|}$
- $B(t)=T \times N$
- torsion $\tau$ : compute $\frac{B^{\prime}(t)}{\left|\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right|}$ \& compare it to $N$ (they are multiples of each other) to find $-\tau$ and then $\tau$ because $\frac{B^{\prime}(t)}{\left|\alpha^{\prime}(t)\right|}=\frac{B^{\prime}(t)}{\frac{d s}{d t}}=\frac{d B}{d t} d t=B^{\prime}(s)$ (by chain rule), and we defined this as $-\tau N$.

$$
\alpha(t)=\left(\frac{5}{13} \cos (t),-\sin (t),-\frac{12}{13} \cos (t)\right)
$$

$T, \kappa$ and $N$ work in higher dimensions, but the osculating plane is not defined by a normal, nor does cross product make sense-that is replaced by tensors and forms.
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- First show that $B^{\prime}$ has no $B$ component
- So $B^{\prime}=a T+b N+0 B$. Next show $B^{\prime}$ has no tangential component via a cross product argument, using $B=T \times N$ $B^{\prime}=T^{\prime} \times N+T \times N^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\kappa N \times N+T \times N^{\prime} \\
& =\kappa|N||N| \sin 0+T \times N^{\prime}=0+T \times N^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $B^{\prime}$ is perpendicular to $T$ too and thus has only an $N$ component-we define the component to be $-\tau$
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We showed $B^{\prime}$ had no $B$ component and no $T$ component and thus it makes sense to define $B^{\prime}=-\tau N$.
Assume these relationships above.
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$T \cdot N=0$ so $T^{\prime} \cdot N+T \cdot N^{\prime}=0$ and
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QED
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$T^{\prime}(s)=\kappa N$
$N^{\prime}(s)=-\kappa T+\tau B$
$B^{\prime}(s)=-\tau N$
$\left[\begin{array}{c}T^{\prime}(s) \\ N^{\prime}(s) \\ B^{\prime}(s)\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & \kappa & 0 \\ -\kappa & 0 & \tau \\ 0 & -\tau & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}T \\ N \\ B\end{array}\right]$
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a lemniscate can be parameterized so that the metric does expand [Amy Ksir, -]

- Lemniscate of Bernoulli $\left(\frac{3 \cos t}{1+\sin ^{2} t}, \frac{3 \sin t \cos t}{1+\sin ^{2} t}, 0\right)$
- Lemniscate of Myka $\left(\frac{t+t^{3}}{1+t^{4}}, \frac{t-t^{3}}{1+t^{4}}, 0\right)$

