

Book references:

1) Morrow, Charlene. Perl, Teri. 1998. Notable Women in Mathematics. Conn.: Greenwood Press. 209-214.

Notable Women in Mathematics

This is the best source we could find on Alice T. Schafer's life. It was very detailed and thorough and told of her significant struggles and triumphs throughout her life.

2) Schafer, Alice T. 1946. Two Singularities of Space Curves. North Carolina: Duke University Press. 655-670

Two Singularities of Space Curves

This was written by Alice T. Schafer herself. However, it can be hard to read and follow her work. But still highly recommended as a source.

Internet source

www.agnesscott.edu/lriddle/women/schafer.htm

This is a very short synopsis of Alice T. Schafer's work and accomplishments. It's not a good extensive source and not recommended for research purposes.

Note: There are many internet sites about Alice Schafer's award and very few on her herself.

Calculus With Analytic Geometry.

This Calculus book was very well written and was easy to follow along with. It provided great examples and good insight to the topic we discussed with inflection points ect.

Calculus from a Graphical, Numerical, and Symbolic Points of View.

The Ostebee Zorn Calc. book provided us with great definitions for our inflections points, normal vectors, and our concavities of curves.

Multivariable Calculus from a Graphical, Numerical, and Symbolic Points of View. THE MATCHVALTADIC CATCALAD ICLOSED PLOVIACE AD WITH A

better understanding of three space and had great applications to the three dimensional vector cuves.

Notable Women in Mathematics

This is the best source we could find on Alice T. Schafer's life. It was very detailed and thorough and told of her significant struggles and triumphs throughout her life.

Two Singularities of Space Curves

This was written by Alice T. Schafer herself. However, it can be hard to read and follow her work. But still highly recommended as a source.

Internet source (Alice T. Shcafer)

This is a very short synopsis of Alice T. Schafer's work and accomplishments. It's not a good extensive source and not recommended for research purposes.